

## THE EVIL FRUITS JESUS PRODUCED!

### NOTES

Think of what Jesus is actually saying,

No good tree bears bad fruit, nor does a bad tree bear good fruit.

THIS IS NOT LITERALLY TRUE. GOOD TREES DO HAVE BLIPS. HE IS CLEAR THAT GOOD TREES SPIRITUALLY ONLY BEAR GOOD FRUIT. ITS VERY FORCEFUL.

Each tree is recognized by its own fruit. People do not pick figs from thornbushes, or grapes from briars.

PEOPLE MUST THOROUGHLY AND SEVERELY JUDGE THE RELIGION OR THE PROPHET.

A good man brings good things out of the good stored up in his heart, and an evil man brings evil things out of the evil stored up in his heart.

THE EVIL IN THE HEART IS THE REAL PROBLEM. IF A RELIGION FAILS TO DO ANY GREAT GOOD ITS INTERNALLY BAD THOUGH YOU CANNOT SEE THAT BADNESS. YOU HAVE TO WORK OUT THAT IT IS THERE FOR IT IS NOT OBVIOUS. YOU JUDGE THE ACTIONS TO SEE WHAT IS PROBABLY THERE IN THE HEART.

For the mouth speaks what the heart is full of.

BAD OR RIDICULOUS DOCTRINE IS A SIGN OF A BAD RELIGION.

BAD RELIGION CANNOT LAST AND THE INDIVIDUAL IN A BAD RELIGION MAY HAVE GOOD INTENTIONS BUT WILL FALL INTO COMPLETE DESTRUCTION:

“Why do you call me, ‘Lord, Lord,’ and do not do what I say? As for everyone who comes to me and hears my words and puts them into practice, I will show you what they are like. They are like a man building a house, who dug down deep and laid the foundation on rock. When a flood came, the torrent struck that house but could not shake it, because it was well built. But the one who hears my words and does not put them into practice is like a man who built a house on the ground without a foundation. The moment the torrent struck that house, it collapsed and its destruction was complete.”

### ANALYSIS OF THE FRUITS DOCTRINE

“Every tree that does not bear good fruit is cut down and thrown into the fire. Do people pick grapes from thornbushes, or figs from thistles? Thus, by their fruit you will recognize them.” Jesus by telling us to use the fruit argument is saying that no matter how good following him seems to be if people are hating each other too much over him or lying too much or whatever then that has something to do with him. Spiritual help that keeps you bad or worsens you or useless help makes Jesus to blame for he promised to connect with your spirit and put good qualities into it.

Jesus made it clear to Peter that good intentions can serve Satan's purpose. He called Peter Satan for Peter told him he must not die on the cross. See Matthew 16.

Matthew 7:15-20 New International Version (NIV)

Jesus said 15 “Watch out for false prophets. They come to you in sheep’s clothing, but inwardly they are ferocious wolves. 16 By their fruit you will recognize them. Do people pick grapes from thornbushes, or figs from thistles? 17 Likewise, every good tree bears good fruit, but a bad tree bears bad fruit. 18 A good tree cannot bear bad fruit, and a bad tree cannot bear good fruit. 19 Every tree that does not bear good fruit is cut down and thrown into the fire. 20 Thus, by their fruit you will recognize them.”

How do you answer those who point out against these texts that fruits cannot unveil a false prophet all the time, for a teacher can fall and fall big despite giving wise teaching? The answer is that the teacher is one of the fruits and you must consider the overall results. Too many falls or any continual evil or corruption is a sign that the teacher is using good teachings to manipulate you to evil.

A tree has to have leaves to pave the way for fruit. A tree will have fruit and leaves at the one time. So loads of lovely leaves representing people are no good. You need the fruit. A religion is not to be measured by having civil people in it but by how many heroes of God it has. Politics and the media and indeed all of society only care about civility! That is a sectarian view!

The text mentions that the prophets will seem so good that they are like sheep. All false prophets need to do a lot of good to get a following. Also, how much bad fruit does a tree need to be called a bad tree? Jesus is saying that a good tree bears only good fruit. This is a metaphor for a good tree can bear a little bad fruit. But the metaphor suggests that the true prophet

makes his followers into trees that bear only the best of fruit. True followers of the prophet will be like angels.

Jesus said that bad fruits follow false prophets. He said that we know the true prophets and the false from their fruits. Good prophets make good fruits. He asked if grapes can be gathered from thorns. He meant us to see that they cannot. He denied that an evil religious teacher or prophet can produce ANY good fruits. Or did he?

Others say he meant that the false prophet cannot turn evil people into good rapidly. They point out that he said that a true prophet is able to gather grapes from thorns metaphorically speaking. He is talking about miracle conversions following true prophets. So if evil people miraculously turn good that is like the impossible happening and it is like grapes coming from thorns. The agent is God through his prophet.

Whatever Jesus meant, he rejected the popular view that if a religion does a reasonable amount of good it is from God. A reasonable amount of good is not enough. It is normal and so it means nothing. Jesus condemned normal morality in the Sermon on the Mount for he said you will get no credit from God for loving those who love you back. He snidely said its the kind of love pagans have for one another!! Despite his sectarian racist bigotry - pagans at that time meant all non-Jews he claims to have the right to say that good fruits means that which is abnormally or miraculously good. Clearly the fruits he has in mind are heroic and supernatural virtue. He means true prophets have an unusually high following of saints. Their spiritual influence makes the saints. He denied that an evil or good natured but fraudulent religious teacher or prophet can produce such good fruits. People tend to think a religious claim is true if followers feel happy because of it and do good. But Jesus says that is not enough. You need to see saintly heroism in them.

The teaching has two sides:

**POSITIVE:** there is an unexplained (supernatural or paranormal or whatever) power following a truly good spiritual guide that produces shockingly incredibly good results when it comes to transforming human nature. The bad become saints and the good become great. The good is the enemy of the best so the grace of the teacher or God working through him rectifies that. The power is hidden and only seen in the results. As Jesus puts it, its the fruits that tell the tale.

**NEGATIVE:** By DNA we mean a metaphor for some strange psychological or spiritual or paranormal or supernatural force and influence. Scientology inherited Hubbard's paranoia. It is a natural mystery how that happens but its psychological genesis is unknown. Too many indifferent or malicious or fanatical sinners in the following means it is somehow the spiritual leader's fault. There is something at fault in the DNA of the tree thanks to the teacher. If you are on the tree you need to fall off. That is what Jesus is getting at. Jesus is staking his own reputation on this doctrine. Without remarkable goodness, Christianity is a false religion. We cannot make Jesus immune to criticism when his followers are mostly corrupt and unremarkable.

However, the implication was that his fruits were marvellous so he was the Son of God and so should be a super-celeb.

The fruits that followed Jesus were:

# People being told to love God more than themselves or anybody else. Who cares what you love most of all as long as you do good? Its downright insulting to ask people to love God more than their doting parents who sacrificed so much for them! They know they have parents but as for God being they cannot be as sure. And if they say they are they are not right in the head. What is so great about having a perfect God as a role model when we will never be perfect and are better off following a wonderful but flawed human being?

# The vast majority following perversions of his teaching and distortions of real right and wrong with a gross fanaticism.

# Christianity holding science back for centuries.

# The doctrine that suffering can lead us to God. Suffering is to be seen as evil and abhorrent and useless. Good cannot come out of something so vile. It only appears in spite of it. Suffering is the sense of meaningless existence. It is despair. Pain and suffering are not the same in the sense that you can be happy and in a lot of pain. There is an unmistakeable callousness in the person who says that suffering leads to God. Also, to tell a suffering person that their suffering has a purpose is only going to make them feel worse if that is possible. It is insensitive to tell a person who experiences meaningless existence that their suffering has a purpose. Its not intended to help. Telling it is about you displaying your righteousness not about the other person. The sufferer will want to get rid of the suffering. That is what he or she cares about not God.

# When Jesus said he was the way the truth and the life he commanded that dogmatism be adopted. That is the attitude that when a religion says should be stood by even when the evidence throws doubt on it. Dogmatism opposes freedom of thought and the right to information. When a dogmatic religion commands martyrdom under the right circumstances it

follows that the religion is murderous and all who join it are taking its evil on themselves as part of themselves. Dogma claims to be the truth and that error has no right to exist which means that anything that contradicts it must not be allowed.

# Excessive killings and persecutions in his name.

# He was very abusive towards the Jewish leaders. He was an anti-Semite Jew. Christianity because of him put its anti-Semitism into Hitler - with devastating results. The Church has promoted and buttressed the hatred of Jews down through the years.

# Hatred of women. Christian clergy have mostly been ungrateful to the mothers that bore them by shunning women and by trying to make them second-class citizens.

# Cruel morality and pious frauds. The Church opposes rule utilitarianism the only true view of right and wrong.

# Saints who disguised masochism and sadism as altruism. Many pulled martyrdom on themselves as if it were for Christ. What it is really for is their trust in the New Testament which is anything but trusting in Jesus for Jesus didn't write it nor did the authors prove they had the authority to write the way they did which was claiming to convey the word of God.

# Attempts to become a deranged and dangerous fanatic. Nobody normal could possibly be able to love God unconditionally. They are not supposed to love him so that they might avoid everlasting punishment but to love him unconditionally. Thus the system of Jesus which warned about everlasting torment was geared towards putting Christians on a treadmill. They would fight and struggle all their lives to exercise an impossible unconditional love and in their anger and frustration they would inflict their religion on others by force if necessary or possible. They would get cynical with themselves with all the bad fruits that brings. They would have to try to frustrate and destroy their own sanity to attain a state of serious abnormality. Even if there is no everlasting punishment we still have to love God unconditionally. We still have to have the attitude that if there were a Hell we would not love him to avoid it but just to please him. Belief in God is dangerous.

# Jesus magically made the equivalent of nine hundred bottles of good wine at Cana from water when the guests were already drunk (page 6, A Christian Faith for Today, W Montgomery Watt, Routledge, London, 2002). This is reported in the gospel of John. It is remarked in the story that this wine was very good and served at the point when the bad wine was served. The guests then were meant to be drunk enough not to know that they were now getting bad cheap wine. He encouraged their will to get drunk. He encouraged their sin. The Church says that miracles are about God's action and meant to have a positive and good spiritual effect on us and magic is not. If so the Cana event was not a miracle but an act of magic.

Any good that was done could have been done with a rational morality and with belief in a higher power of goodness. The good was outweighed by the bad by far.

The Christian answer to all that is, "Oh that is just your interpretation. Jesus was wonderful!" That answer coming from people who brag that they don't judge and that they love everybody is interesting. It is accusing you of being sour enough to distort things about such a wonderful person. And when it comes from people who have never read the Bible it is so irritating.

Another answer is, "Jesus did not tell his followers to do the bad things they did. It was the people to blame not the religion he made." That argument is an excuse. It refuses to admit that religion is not necessarily right or perfect in itself. If Christianity is man-made then its to blame as a religion. Its bigotry to judge the members of the religion rather than the religion itself as a system.

## BAD FRUITS OF THE CHRISTIAN FAITH

The good fruits of Christianity are supposed to be evidence for the divine origin of the Church. Jesus told simple plain people that they could tell false prophets by the bad fruits they would produce. This was in complete contradiction to his and the apostles' doctrine that man's good works were just a sham and were full of moral pollution and ulterior motives for man is naturally anti-God but lets forget that for the moment. But most Christians abuse their faith for they are hypocrites. If that is not a bad fruit then nothing is so Christianity is a hoax.

Some suppose Christianity can be true and the bad fruits fail to prove it false because you never condemn something by its abuse. But if a religion is not from God and claims it is then this argument does not apply. It only applies to true godly and divinely inspired religions.

A Buddhist prophet could be a real prophet and produce bad fruits so how would you be able to tell a true prophet from a

false on the basis of fruits unless you affirm that a prophet abusing his religion proves that his religion is false?

When the gospels put in such a shocking evidence for Christianity it is obvious that they were unable to do any better and the resurrection and the miracles that allegedly verified the faith are proven to be too legendary to be of any help. The early Church admitted this when it used the fruits argument. Who knows, maybe the fruits argument was a deliberate hint that the gospels were tongue in cheek!

Christianity is a religion of mercy that is really a thin disguise for condoning evil. Christianity teaches that you can convert on your deathbed after a life of evil and that is fine. A religion that teaches things like that has no business presenting its good works as good fruits that support its claim to divine origin for then its good works are done in spite of it and not because of it. To have the right to use the argument the religion has to encourage good in its teaching and practice it.

Jesus supposedly obeyed God to death in our place. The salvation that Jesus won for us on the cross is a licence to sin which is why nobody should be surprised if the Protestant idea that because of Jesus we can die in serious sin and still go to Heaven provided we have been saved by receiving Jesus by faith in the past is true.

Another bad fruit of the Catholic Church, and Christian Church in general, is how the cult teaches that evil is bad and useless for it breeds evil and then says that evil has a purpose in God's plan! They are saying that God is evil and then surreptitiously denying it. They also praise a saint who dies young because of doing too much penance and praying as a martyr because this is God's will while they condemn you mildly hurting another person though you think you should. So what the most important person in your life – you! – thinks does not count and God does even though you can't be as sure he exists as that you do! That's terrible.

Another bad fruit, not just a Christian one, is how a religion is caught lying and cheating and abusing children and producing only hypocrites can and usually does survive it. Any other organisation would implode or be dismantled. And that is what would happen if insiders and outsiders had any decency. Parents are to blame for it.

To teach that a person can suffer terribly in this life and go to an endless Hell from which escape is impossible makes one breathless at the thought of how vicious this is for other softer beliefs are possible. You could believe something more kindly. It cannot be denied that if you compose an organisation that teaches eternal punishing, you and the denizens of that organisation should live miraculously exemplary lives. Why? Because when your corporation teaches something so bloody awful it has to be extremely responsible for the same reason that an executioner should be a very good person for the one that executes justice in such a serious way should practice it to perfection. The Church might respond that Jesus taught it not the Church. But the Church has decided to believe in Jesus meaning the Church is teaching it too. Since we cannot prove Jesus taught it, it is clear that it is the Church more than him. It follows then that the sins of the Church are all indeed very very serious in intent. Salvation would be impossible in this scenario. It is proven by this that the Church does not decrease sin but increases it assuming sin is a meaningful term. Remember the more sinful you become doesn't mean that you will necessarily do things that are more obviously antisocial. A charming seemingly caring person who everybody likes could be the most effective tool Satan can have.

The good fruits of Christianity are put forward as evidence that it is the religion God set up to do his work and let him work in us. The good fruits of Christianity are supposed to be evidence for the divine origin of the Church. But the faith says that if you live a life of evil and repent on your deathbed it is okay. So any good fruits are not really necessary! So what right has it to boast then?

#### A REASON WHY THE BAD ONLY SHOWS UP IN THE FRUIT NOT THE TREE

A pious fraud may do good now but in time people will suffer at finding it was a farce and critics will be dismissed as cruel immoral people just for trying to save people from an illusion. And frauds need further frauds to prolong and sustain them. Jesus warned that if the seed is bad the tree will be worse. Protecting a lie is damn hard dangerous work so the truth will out so you are better getting rid of the lie and facing the truth no matter how terrible it seems.

#### WHAT GOOD FRUITS WOULD WE EXPECT FROM A REAL PROPHET OF GOD?

The fruits argument can only work if you keep things simple in relation to ethics. I mean that instead of saying that killers are to be executed to please God one will say that killers should be jailed for life. That is better than killing them which would be too much. Another example, would be sanctioning the relationship of two homosexuals who love one another and make one another happy and who are committed. It complicates things to condemn them. What happens is that if you complicate things there will be too much conflict and disagreement about right and wrong and Jesus' insistence on the fruits will be made worthless. A man could abuse a child saying he is a prophet and that God commanded it for a good reason so nobody would be able to prove that it was a bad fruit if they believed him. Since the fruits of Christianity have been so unpleasant we can safely say that it has created bad fruit. How would the fruits argument used by Jesus apply to the likes of

the Catholic Church shielding paedophile priests and leaving them free to carry on abusing? Using the principle that it has to be kept simple to make sense we see that it would infer that the Catholic Church is a wolf that hopes to devour the lambs of Christ. The argument would be no use if the excuse: "The fruits exist but you cannot see them for we are not living the fruits", carried any weight. Jesus did not keep his ethics simple so his use of the fruits argument was just a con and a trick. In the gospel of John he says that everyone will know his true disciples by their love for one another. He's accepting the argument here too. In accepting it he condemned himself as a wolf. The apostles weren't very worried if he was a fake when they didn't use the good trees bear good fruits and bad bear bad argument to see what Jesus really was!

The fruits argument is no good. Jesus wouldn't have believed it anyway. He regarded Moses as a Prophet of God despite that blood-drinker being no better than Hitler. That Jesus even used the argument is a bad fruit! All religious manipulators herald their fruits as signs that God has sent them.

To say that you know a person to be good or bad by their fruits is far too strong as well. We all know people who are really good who we misunderstood. We misinterpreted their fruits. At best, you can say, "I believe - not know - this person is good for he does good things."

If Jesus had really produced good fruits,

He would have raised money for a refuge for the lepers.

He would have upbraided the rich more and got money off them for the poor.

He would have spent many nights cleaning the sores of beggars.

He would have been seen taking his dinner out to the little starving child on the street.

He would have told the schools to stop focusing so much on religion and equip children for the harsh world.

He would have said it was a sin for the authorities to let human waste be dumped anywhere.

He would not have been obsessing about God and scripture and religion - he is even worse than a pope.

Like the apparitions of Medjugorje, Jesus is praised for making people more religious and nobody cares that few if any were inspired to accomplish heroic good works such as tending the sick 24/7 and building hospitals.

All we have are stories about Jesus doing healings. The man who gives you his dinner is far better than the man who without any trouble snaps his fingers and makes you well. It costs him nothing. A rich man who gives a little to the poor in fact deserves greater praise!

No decent or thinking person presents such an irrelevant and confused and silly person as Jesus as the centre of spiritual life.

## CONCLUSION

Jesus could be understood as saying that we should drop him and his religion no matter how good they seem to be or are for if the fruits are bad then there is something badly wrong with the tree though you cannot tell what. Jesus is being used by the Christian faith.