

Baptism invites evil and/or an evil God into the baby

Baptising a baby is the Christian equivalent of the curse of the wicked fairy in Sleeping Beauty. The lack of concern for whether baptism works and the clear evidence that it fails to make people who meet the high Jesus standard point to one thing. If original sin is true then baptism is a scam for keeping you in it. No problem is worse than one that ceases to be seen as a problem or seen as fixed when it is not. Christian quacks peddle cures for the evil in the human heart. If nothing happens they blame Jesus or say Jesus can't do it for the person doesn't want healing. No medical quack is that brazen.

Catholics have babies baptised to dedicate them to God by putting God's presence in the baby, by putting the child in a state of reconciliation with God, by ending the estrangement from God that we all have at conception, and to dedicate them to the faith God has revealed in all its fulness in the Catholic Church. This faith includes such doctrines as that adultery deserves everlasting torment in Hell and the goodness of God in wanting to kill us and settling for killing Jesus instead in our place. Such a faith cannot win a sincere adult never mind a child. Baptism is regarded as supremely important for it gives a child the means to avoid growing up to end up sinning so as to end up in Hell! It is hellfire insurance.

Baptism requires that you use water to symbolise that you are judged for sin and that judgement is now washed away (abolished) and it requires that you use the words I baptise you in the name of the Father and the Son and the Holy Spirit. But why those words and why a statement that God is three persons and that Jesus is God? So this implies that the moral authority of the Church is being embraced and its doctrine.

The Catechism of the Catholic Church says #1256 The ordinary ministers of Baptism are the bishop and priest and, in the Latin Church, also the deacon. In case of necessity, any person, even someone not baptized, can baptize, if he has the required intention. The intention required is to will to do what the Church does when she baptizes, and to apply the Trinitarian baptismal formula. The Church finds the reason for this possibility in the universal saving will of God and the necessity of Baptism for salvation.

Notice how we are told God wills to save all yet he will not save a baptised baby if the wrong words are used. No matter how strongly the baptiser wants the baby in Heaven it will not work. This shows a willingness to exclude a child and it insults the baptiser too! It insults the parents if they are getting the baby baptised to get it in Heaven! And what if it is an emergency baptism and the baby is dying? Make no mistake - baptism is about dedicating a child to believe doctrine and obey the faith far more than it is about getting the baby into Heaven!

So far we see a lot of unnecessary and degrading judgments against the baby. Reason and surprisingly even Catholicism says you must be innocent until proven guilty. I would add that that is far truer of a baby than a grown-up. No ceremony can make a child a member of a Church with duties to it when the ceremony is evil and based on evil doctrine. The child would not choose such a thing if she could. He does not choose his baptism anyway but we have no right to consider the baptism valid in any sense or the child to be a valid religion member when he would not choose it if he could. His choice to join would need to be carefully informed otherwise it is not a valid choice at all.

Back to the judgements.

The judgments are:

Jesus did a lot of judging and took responsibility for the evil judgemental law of the Old Testament which ordered innocent sinners to be murdered by stoning. The Christian judges through Jesus by proxy for Jesus is claimed to be saviour and perfect God.

The gospel promise through John the Baptist that Jesus would baptise with the Holy Spirit and with fire. Some think this was fulfilled at Pentecost where the Holy Spirit supposedly came down on the Church in the form of fire. Acts which tells us the tale never suggests that this experience was a baptism. John's gospel connects the fire to judgement - like fire there is destruction. It is destructive judgement. Putting it all together, the texts that the Church says are about its sacrament of baptism threaten the baptised with judgement and that judgement is averted by repentance. Babies are judged and threatened for having original sin. It is obvious though that the idea that baptism is only for sinful adults makes better sense.

The baby is sinful from conception - original sin. Therefore abortion is murder and also spiritual murder.

To cure a sin that is not there is judgmental in itself. Baptism supposedly washes original sin away. Do not forget that real forgiveness means requiring that anger and judgment must be there first and working through them.

Parents who do not baptise are not allowing the child to be reconciled with God.

They are exposing that baby to satanic influence.

They are exposing that baby to the inner desire to do evil that comes from being conceived as a sinner.

Any baby that is not baptised is not a child of God in the way a baptised one is.

No baby will go to Heaven without baptism.

Being baptised as a child or an adult but having a disposition that ignores your baptismal responsibilities means you get the obligations of being a Catholic but no help from God to live them. If there is in fact no help from God anyway that is a nasty doctrine.

Baptism is committing the child to a morality that condemns divorce and abortion and other human rights

Baptism is committing the child to a religious "education" and "formation."

Baptism is based on the idea that Jesus was not about giving us morals or meaning in life or joy but about saving us from our sins for sin results in everlasting punishment from the moment of death on.

It is also judged that the child's choice does not matter for we all know that religion struggles to get converts and would not get members without the manipulative scheme of infant baptism.

Those who do not take this nonsense seriously are condemned. They deserve it for they should take it seriously and agonise whether or not to baptise the child and if they come to the font it should be with reluctance.

Jesus said we will only be the sons of God if we love our enemies. See Matthew 5:43-45. The Catholic Church says we become the sons by being sprinkled with water at baptism! That is enough to condemn the ritual. Not a single word of the Bible says that baptism makes us children of God. And who would want to be? The God that allegedly gives us baptism is the one described by Jesus in the parable of the Unforgiving Servant in Matthew 18. And he is not a pleasant God. The parable is full of how the king exercises coercion and violence and Jesus concludes that God is harsh and unforgiving unless you yourself forgive. God seems to only forgive the once. This matches Jesus' God who sends people to Hell forever.

Baptism dedicates you to God and depending on the denomination you go to it dedicates you to a particular version of God who reveals a particular version of faith or belief. It is a dedication to evil if God is evil or the interpretation of God is evil. And it is evil to worship God if there is no God for that is saying the evil that happens should happen.

Jesus directed violent rage against those who cause children to stumble - read Luke 17:1-2. Mark 9:42-48. There is no excuse for imposing religious membership on children. It risks making them stumble especially when the parents rarely know anything about comparative religion. The children can be guided later to follow a system of belief without being indoctrinated or membership being forced on them. Only if they freely choose, then may they be baptised.

Baptism offers a child a relationship with an evil God. God's good points can't justify adoring him when he does great evil. It is because he can do good that he is evil so he merits no praise. A God who sets up nature so that some people will die in sin and go to Hell forever to suffer and abide in sin is an evil God. Even if there were some justification for him punishing somebody forever there is none for him letting somebody be stuck in sin forever. Catholicism teaches that Hell is our own choice, a choice made to live without God, that is confirmed by death and ratified by God. In other words, this sympathetic religion claims that Hell is all our own fault. How non-judgmental! If there was no Hell or danger of it or even if only a handful of people went to Hell, it wouldn't matter a lot if one was baptised or not. The stress on the importance of baptism reflects the fear of everlasting torment in Hell. Death cannot confirm everlasting antagonism to God unless God has given it this power. So it is God rather than death that puts you in Hell forever. How could dying - especially unexpectedly - necessarily mean you want to be fixed in whatever state of sin you are in? Living would say that more than dying - you live on purpose but you don't usually die on purpose. The Catholics contradict themselves. The Hell they envisage is God's fault and then they admit that and then blame the sinner. In other words, they think it is wrong to damn a person forever so they blame the person instead of God knowing fine well its his fault too. Its mainly God's fault - he is the creator. Hell is a doctrine of hatred disguised as love. It leads to feelings of hatred and bigotry.

How can the Christian child or indeed any Christian be happy if they could possibly go to Hell? What happens is they resort to the sin of presumption. This is the sin of assuming that you can be a heretic and a sinner or both and still go to Heaven for God will miraculously forgive you on your deathbed or before. It is the attitude of "Oh I am too great to go to Hell it only happens to other people like that old pervert up the road." This attitude is full of subliminal hatred and contempt for others and as for the arrogance it is anything but subliminal. Baptism commits a child to belief in Hell. Indeed

if Hell does not exist then baptism is hardly very important. Presumption is such a dangerous sin that the Church says that it is the reason why the Pharisees who said Jesus was a servant of the Devil were declared to be inevitably going to Hell. They were blinded to their need to repent.

If God exists he is bad. It is bad to worship him. And if we worship him, that shows we are typical human beings who prefer to mix evil and good than to be good. To ask people to believe you worship God for his total goodness is a big ask! If worshipping God is bad if he exists, then it is worse to worship him if he does not. It would mean the evil and suffering in the universe really is inexcusable and we are praising it as fitting an all-good God and not only fitting him but allowed or done by him. Adoring him is bad for the reason that adoring Satan is bad even if Satan does not exist.

Catholic baptism imposes a duty on a child to condone the evil Catholic version of God allows - such as the terrible suffering of innocent babies, such as the nastiness shown by Jesus (eg his violence in the Temple), such as warped teaching on sex and mercy, everlasting damnation for those who have committed non-sins or trivial sins (even Satan cannot really deserve eternal torment) and the idolatrous magic of the Mass in which priests turn bread and wine into Jesus. It is nothing to celebrate.