

## JESUS AND PLEASURE

An evil man necessarily wants to make some people unhappy and Jesus was no exception. But let us move away from this general observation and get down to specifics.

Jesus said that the most important commandment was the one to love God with all our power. The next, love of neighbour as oneself, was the next most important and was said to be like it for loving your neighbour to please God is really just loving God with all your power or loving God alone. In Christianity, people don't matter in themselves. This is a callous religion.

Christianity teaches that love is not feeling affection but is sacrifice for if you won't sacrifice you prefer your pleasure to the person. Jesus taught that too. If love is not feeling but sacrifice then love is action. The more you hate the person you help the better for hate makes sure that you are devoted to their good when you do good for them for its own sake and not because of your feelings.

Jesus liked strong drink and his food. He frequented parties and fancy dinners. He told the apostles that he wanted them to be happy (John 16:24). But love is sacrifice for when you help others because you want to you are using them for you are doing it because you want to and not for them. Jesus came out against using others that way in his Sermon on the Mount. The harder life is then the better. To refuse to love as much as possible is to refuse to love at all. He commanded that we love God with all that is in us. Yet he went against and preached against these very principles when he felt like it. Popularity was what he sought. If Jesus had been the Son of God he would have been an ascetic who willed to hate people with all the emotional strength in him so that he could make the smallest deed of kindness to them a massive sacrifice of love. Feeling hate and willing evil are two separate things and the former is only sinful when it is not done for the sake of sacrifice. Satan stands for happiness while God stands for the misery of love.

Even if Jesus were God he would have had to torment himself in order to harm himself for others.

Jesus' disciples did not fast and John's did. Jesus explained that he would not let his own do that for they had him with them meaning that it was a time for rejoicing (Mark 2). He remarked that you do not put patches from new things unto old. And he said that his own disciples should wait until he was out of the world before fasting. Fasting was done to discipline the body. Jesus is forbidding his apostles to do that. Perhaps he thought that fasting was not about discipline but about pain for the sake of pain. If it was party-time, as he said, then after his death should be a bigger party for he is now with God and better at helping us than ever. When Jesus said that now was the time for celebrating he had no intention of surviving death or rising from the dead at that time. He did not even believe in life after death.

Christians tell us about the terrible things that happen in life being part of God's good plan in other words, evil is used to bring good out of it. Jesus himself dismissed this reasoning totally when he said that we must expect him back any moment and be always ready. Obviously, Jesus thought he could come back soon and was wrong for nobody in their right mind keeps expecting a helicopter to arrive when it was to come last week and that is only days and not centuries. But anyway the point is, if Jesus can come back now it is a sin to say that this accident or that person getting terminally ill has a purpose for you are supposed to act as if you are not sure what is going to happen in the next few minutes and that the world could end and Jesus appear. This would make life hell for anybody who believes in his God for they will have to act as if God is good and has no purpose for suffering. Cruelty like this is rare and free will is no excuse for it for there is no such thing and it does not need to be programmed to make evil possible.

## BOOKS CONSULTED

- Catechism of the Catholic Church, Veritas. Dublin, 1995
- Christ and Violence, Ronald J Sider, Herald Press, Scottsdale, Ontario, 1979
- Miracles in Dispute, Ernst and Marie-Luise Keller, SCM Press Ltd, London, 1969
- Moral Philosophy, Joseph Rickaby SJ, Stoneyhurst Philosophy Series, Longmans, Green and Co, London, 1912
- Objections to Christian Belief, DM Mackinnon, HA Williams, AR Vidler and JS Bezzant, Constable, London, 1963
- Putting Away Childish Things, Uta Ranke-Heinemann, HarperCollins, San Francisco, 1994
- Reason and Belief, Bland Blanshard, George Allen & Unwin Ltd, London, 1974
- Robert Schuller, Satellite Saint or High Flying Heretic, Cecil Andrews, Take Heed Publications, Belfast
- The Hard Sayings of Jesus, FF Bruce Hodder & Stoughton, London, 1983

The Resurrection Factor, Josh McDowell, Alpha Scripture Press Foundation, Bucks, 1993

The Truth of Christianity, WH Turton, Wells Gardner, Darton & Co Ltd, London, 1905

Why I am Not a Christian, Bertrand Russell, Touchstone Books, Simon and Schuster, New York, undated

The WWW

Kooks and Quacks of the Roman Empire by Richard Carrier

[www.infidels.org/library/modern/richard\\_carrier/kooks.html](http://www.infidels.org/library/modern/richard_carrier/kooks.html)

THIS SITE ARGUES THAT JESUS WAS EVIL AND WAS NOT A GOOD EXAMPLE [www.nobeliefs.com/jesus.htm](http://www.nobeliefs.com/jesus.htm)

