

JESUS WAS A SLANDERER IN PUBLIC AND TODAY WOULD BE FOUND GUILTY OF LIBEL

Jesus Christ would have been buried under a mountain of suits for slander if he lived today.

To tell a lie, to err even, is to slander the person who has the truth. It is accusing them of lying or of not being smart enough. Jesus slandered people with his errors and lies.

The main issue is how he blackened the Jewish leaders. In Matthew 23 he ranted against them and accused them of murders before their time. He challenged them to murder him and finish their murderous work. This was a clear attempt to stir up his following to attack them. It is unknown if they did. If so, then these men and women and children who may have been hurt or killed.

The claim that they committed a sin that will never be pardoned when they thought an evil spirit was helping him to stage exorcisms is clearly slander for he was clear that God forgives all.

The whole picture of him is one to whom slander comes easy.

An action that is intended to fool is as much a lie as a spoken one. Jesus was publicly baptised in the Jordan by John for the repentance of sins and let it be revealed publicly (John 1). If he was free from sin, this was deceiving the witnesses and slandering himself by letting himself be taken for a sinner. If Jesus had to be baptised he could have had it done in private. If he had announced to all that he had no sin they would have laughed at him and he would have had no mission. The baptism would count as evidence against him.

The Church says Jesus got baptised as an example. That is a lie for nothing in the gospel says why he was baptised. He was indeed deceiving.

Jesus criticised the apostles for not understanding his parables (Mark 4:10, 13). Jesus told the parable of the seed. Some seed is thrown on bad ground and does not grow, some grows well and some of that is choked by other plants. It is plain that even a person who was unable to stand with drink would know what this means. What else could the seed be but the word of God? It could be thought to be the grace of God but that made no difference for the Jews held that grace is only given out to those who have the word of God which they surmised was themselves. Jesus was falsely accusing the apostles of being stupid. And then he proved his own inability to tell the truth when he said that the purpose of the parables was to hide doctrine so that the true meaning could be given to the worthy. As if he would want to hide something like the meaning of the seed!

Jesus was really saying that he has the right to ride roughshod over those who needed his guidance the most when he maligned and rejected them.

Catholics interpret Jesus when he said you are Peter and on this rock I will build my Church in Matthew 16 as saying that Peter was the head of the Church. Jesus a few minutes later called Peter Satan and Satan is the most evil being in the universe. Either Peter was the devil incarnate or Jesus was slandering him. If Jesus promoted the Devil incarnate to a high rank in his group as the New Testament says then he was no better. Peter had simply said he didn't want Jesus to suffer on a cross and Jesus very severely called him an obstacle in his path. A rock and an obstacle. Jesus could still build his Church on a rock that was also an obstacle. It is not true that Peter would have to be a pope and infallible to be the rock.

Jesus was once asked by the Jews if it were right to divorce one's wife for any reason whatsoever. He asked them what the commandment said and they said that Moses said that divorce was permitted. Jesus said that the scriptures forbade this for a man is to leave his parents and cleave to his wife and that what God has united no man must put asunder. He said that Moses only wrote the commandment because of the hardness of heart of the people. Now, even if they were stubborn, Moses had no cause to authorise no-fault divorce. He could have allowed a restricted form of divorce. And there is no record that Moses was forced and bullied by the people to write the commandment. They would need to be prepared to cause an uprising over this issue if you want to absolve Moses of the blame for making the law. And the law makes it clear that the people were afraid of Moses and that he took no nonsense when they rebelled. Jesus was simply a liar.

In Matthew 8, Jesus says that nobody in Israel had as much faith as the Roman centurion. That is impossible to believe when Jesus had allegedly cured thousands of Israelites before. He was lying then when he told the Samaritan woman that salvation is from the Jews.

Jesus said that nobody was good only God. If nobody was good only God then the person who believes that they have no free will and cannot sin or believes themselves to be sinless is a fraud. We can learn by experience that this was false testimony against the whole human race.

Jesus said that the Baptiser was the prophet Elijah and restored everything and the people did what they pleased with him as scripture promised they would (Mark 9). John denied he was Elijah (John 1:21) and did no miracles as the Gospels admit unlike Elijah who did which is proof enough that Jesus made John out to be Elijah against his will to take advantage of his following and influence. Jesus stole John's sheep. Christians say that John was Elijah in the sense that he was like him but not his reincarnation. But in the prophecy Jesus has in mind about Elijah's return there is no hint of symbolism or that it does not mean the real Elijah. The Gospels never deny that John was the literal Elijah and Jesus would have been guilty of twisting prophecies had he held that they were not literal. A prophecy can be twisted to mean anything you want if you start taking bits of prophecy non-literally. Jesus is accusing John of lying about not being the Old Testament prophet. And John did not restore much. And it is not true that the people treated John as they pleased which is an innuendo for hurting and killing him. His sad end was not their fault. The Gospels say the people loved and came to John. Scripture never predicted a bad end for the returned Elijah but would have expected him to be glorious on the earth and invincible for a chariot of fire had taken him up to Heaven and you would expect him to be more wonderful and more lucky when he comes back. Jesus lied.

Jesus said that his moral rules must be obeyed by all. Some of these seem unreasonable to us. Jesus would have said that since the fall of Adam and Eve we are not smart enough to grasp all that God has revealed. Anybody can invoke the stupidity that is in all of us to get us to accept any moral code no matter how depraved it is. Jesus met lots of people who did this. He knew that by saying all should agree with his mysterious morality that he was telling them that they had no right to copy him and devise their own. He slandered them.

It is fine to show humanity how stupid it is and can be. But to trace that stupidity to some spiritual flaw such as original sin inherited from Adam and Eve is not helpful and downright dangerous.

Jesus said that a human being must have rights. But when he said that even the deliberate desire to sin is as bad as committing the evil deed (Matthew 5:28) everybody must deserve whatever suffering they get. To offend God who detests evil infinitely is to offer him an unlimited and cruel insult. Even forgiveness makes no difference to what you deserve. Knowledgeable Christians who are outraged at what they call human rights abuses are only hypocrites and should find something other than rights to base their indignation on.

Like Jesus, they are falsely accusing the abusive person and their concern for the victims is false for they want to make them as nasty as themselves.

The word Devil means slanderer. Jesus was of that breed. If the love of God and people go together as he said then he slandered God. He said that whatever you do to others you do to him so it is the same with God. He lied when he said that he only says what the Father told him to say.

