

## CAN'T BELIEVE IN MIRACLES BECAUSE OF PANTHEISM

The belief that there is one God who has no parts or body but who is the creation is called pantheism. The separateness between things, like how lion and men are not the same being, is written off as an illusion for all is one spirit.

Some say that separation is an illusion. But it still evident that separation exists. They are in the same category of insanity as those who say that evil is an illusion and doesn't exist are in. They still experience evil so the illusion is evil and evil exists after all. Separation then is not an illusion. Its real.

God would not become the universe to suffer.

All who believe in God as an infinite spirit are pantheists for if the Creator is infinite then there can be no power that is not his and if he is one spirit that has no parts then he is his power. So it follows that he has made all things from himself and that separation of any kind is an illusion because God existed when there was no time and before time was and so he cannot change. When we do evil God does evil for we are God. If we sin God sins so to pray to God is to honour what is worse than the Devil. But if we are God we have the right to be as evil as we please.

Miracles then are incoherent nonsense. If miracles verify and express a God who has created out of nothing and who is therefore infinite and who claims to be separate from the creation though this is unintelligible then miracles are unintelligible. If nature is God and we are God as pantheism states then the idea of miracles being signs is crazy for God should not need them for himself. It is as bad as the Hindu idea of God thinking he is one of us and sending a guru to enlighten himself and remind himself that he is God. Miracles are madness and they are evil if God sins in us and we can't believe in their message. It is dangerous to believe in them at all for they have nature going haywire and Heaven knows what it is up to when that happens. It is evil to believe anything if such a untrustworthy God is ruling nature.

Since belief in an infinite God is always closeted pantheism (see my God is a Self-Contradictory Notion) it follows that miracles cannot be supernatural if God is nature. But if they are not supernatural why should we take a miracle as a sign any more than we should take somebody breaking a world record, a highly unusual event, as a sign? We would be God if pantheism is true so there would be no sense in miracles for that would be God doing miracles to God to convince God that he exists. A God who made them necessary though he could have made us know what he wants us to know without them for we are him and he us is not an honest God and is only a show-off.

Further Reading ~

- A Christian Faith for Today, W Montgomery Watt, Routledge, London, 2002
- Answers to Tough Questions, Josh McDowell and Don Stewart, Scripture Press, Bucks, 1980
- Apparitions, Healings and Weeping Madonnas, Lisa J Schwebel, Paulist Press, New York, 2004
- A Summary of Christian Doctrine, Louis Berkhof, The Banner of Truth Trust, London, 1971
- Catechism of the Catholic Church, Veritas, Dublin, 1995
- Catholicism and Fundamentalism, Karl Keating, Ignatius Press, San Francisco, 1988
- Enchiridion Symbolorum Et Definitionum, Heinrich Joseph Denzinger, Edited by A Schonmetzer, Barcelona, 1963
- Looking for a Miracle, Joe Nickell, Prometheus Books, New York, 1993
- Miracles, Rev Ronald A Knox, Catholic Truth Society, London, 1937
- Miracles in Dispute, Ernst and Marie-Luise Keller, SCM Press Ltd, London, 1969
- Lourdes, Antonio Bernardo, A. Doucet Publications, Lourdes, 1987
- Medjugorje, David Baldwin, Catholic Truth Society, London, 2002
- Miraculous Divine Healing, Connie W Adams, Guardian of Truth Publications, KY, undated
- New Catholic Encyclopaedia, The Catholic University of America and the McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc, Washington, District of Columbia, 1967
- Raised From the Dead, Father Albert J Hebert SM, TAN, Illinois 1986
- Science and the Paranormal, Edited by George O Abell and Barry Singer, Junction Books, London, 1981
- The Demon-Haunted World, Carl Sagan, Headline, London, 1997
- The Book of Miracles, Stuart Gordon, Headline, London, 1996
- The Case for Faith, Lee Strobel, Zondervan, Grand Rapids, Michigan, 2000
- The Encyclopaedia of Unbelief Volume 1, Gordon Stein, Editor, Prometheus Books, New York, 1985
- The Hidden Power, Brian Inglis, Jonathan Cape, London, 1986
- The Sceptical Occultist, Terry White, Century, London, 1994
- The Stigmata and Modern Science, Rev Charles Carty, TAN, Illinois, 1974

## OTHER

- Aquinas, St. Thomas, *Summa Contra Gentiles (SCG)*, [translation by J. Rickaby, London: Burns and Oates, 1905].
- Aquinas, St. Thomas, *Summa Theologiae (ST)*, [translated by the Fathers of the English, in *The Catholic Encyclopedia*].
- Adams, William, 1767, *An Essay in Answer to Mr. Hume's Essay on Miracles*, 3rd ed., London: B. White.
- Babbage, Charles, 1837, *The Ninth Bridgewater Treatise*, London: John Murray.
- Basinger, David, and Basinger, Randall, 1986, *Philosophy and Miracle: The Contemporary Debate*, Lewiston, ID: Edwin Mellen Press.
- Beard, John Rely, 1845, *Voices of the Church*, London: Simpkin, Marshall, and Co.
- Beckett, Edmund, 1883, *A Review of Hume and Huxley on Miracles*, New York: E. & J. B. Young & Co.
- Berkeley, George, 1732, *Alciphron*, in George Sampson, ed., *The Works of George Berkeley, D. D., Bishop of Cloyne*, vol. 2, London, George Bell and Sons, 1898.
- Bradley, Francis Herbert, 1874, "The Presuppositions of Critical History," in *Collected Essays*, vol. 1, Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1935.
- Brown, Colin, 1984, *Miracles and the Critical Mind*, Grand Rapids: Eerdmans.
- Brown, Thomas, 1822, *Inquiry into the Relation of Cause and Effect*. Andover: Mark Newman.
- Buel, Oliver Price, 1894, *The Abraham Lincoln Myth*, New York: The Mascot Publishing Co.
- Burns, Robert M, 1981, *The Great Debate on Miracles from Joseph Glanvill to David Hume*, London and Toronto: Associated University Presses.
- Butler, Joseph, 1736, *The Analogy of Religion*, Hartford: Samuel G. Goodrich, 1819.
- Campbell, George, 1762, *A Dissertation on Miracles*, London: Thomas Tegg, 1839.
- Chryssides, George, 1977, "Miracles and Agents," *Religious Studies* 13: 319–327.
- Clarke, Samuel, 1719, *A Discourse Concerning the Being and Attributes of God*, 5th ed., London: James Knapton.
- Coleman, Dorothy P., 1988, "Hume, Miracles and Lotteries," *Hume Studies* 14: 328–346.
- Cooper, Thomas, 1876, *The Verity and Value of the Miracles of Christ*, London: Hodder and Stoughton.
- Copan, Paul, ed., 1998, *Will the Real Jesus Please Stand Up?* Grand Rapids: Baker Books.
- Copan, Paul, and Tacelli, Ronald, eds., 2000, *Jesus' Resurrection: Fact or Figment?* Downer's Grove, IL: InterVarsity.
- Craig, William Lane, 2002, *Assessing the New Testament Evidence for the Resurrection of Jesus*, Lewiston, NY: Edwin Mellen Press.
- Craig, William Lane, 1985, *The Historical Argument for the Resurrection of Jesus During the Deist Controversy*, Lewiston, NY: Edwin Mellen Press.
- Craig, William Lane, 1986, "The Problem of Miracles: A Historical and Philosophical Perspective," in David Wenham and Craig Blomberg, eds., *Gospel Perspectives VI*. Sheffield, England: JSOT Press, pp. 9–40.
- Craig, William Lane, 2008, *Reasonable Faith*, 3rd ed., Wheaton, IL: Crossway Books.
- Dawes, Gregory, 2001, *The Historical Jesus Question*, Louisville: Westminster John Knox Press.
- Dawes, Gregory, 2009, *Theism and Explanation*, New York: Routledge.
- Dawid, Philip and Gillies, Donald, 1989, "A Bayesian Analysis of Hume's Argument Concerning Miracles," *Philosophical Quarterly* 39, pp. 57–65.
- Douglas, John, 1757, *The Criterion*, London: A. Millar.
- Dulles, Avery, 1971, *A History of Apologetics*, Eugene, OR: Wipf and Stock, 1999.
- Earman, John, 2000, *Hume's Abject Failure*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Ehrman, Bart D., 2003, *The New Testament: A Historical Introduction to the Early Christian Writings*, 3rd ed., New York: Oxford University Press.
- Farmer, Hugh, 1771, *A Dissertation on Miracles*, London: T. Cadell.
- Flew, Antony, 1961, *Hume's Philosophy of Belief*, New York: Humanities Press.
- Flew, Antony, 1966, *God and Philosophy*, London: Hutchinson.
- Flew, Antony, 1967, "Miracles." *Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, vol. 5, New York: Macmillan and Free Press, pp. 346–353.
- Fogelin, Robert, 2003, *A Defense of Hume on Miracles*, Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Garrett, Don, 2002, "Hume on Testimony Concerning Miracles," in Millican, Peter, ed., *Reading Hume on Human Understanding: Essays on the First Enquiry*, Oxford: Clarendon Press, pp. 301–334.
- Greenleaf, Simon, 1847, *An Examination of the Testimony of the Four Evangelists, by the Rules of Evidence Administered in Courts of Justice*, 2nd ed. London: A. Maxwell & Son.
- Habermas, Gary, 1996, *The Historical Jesus*. Joplin: College Press.
- Hájek, Alan, 1995, "In Defense of Hume's Balancing of Probabilities in the Miracle Argument," *Southwest Philosophy Review* 11, pp. 111–118.
- Hájek, Alan, 2008, "Are Miracles Chimerical?" In Jonathan Kvanvig, ed., *Oxford Studies in Philosophy of Religion* 1, Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 82–104.
- Hesse, Mary, 1965, "Miracles and the Laws of Nature," in C. F. D. Moule, ed., *Miracles: Cambridge Studies in their Philosophy and History*, London: A. R. Mowbray & Co., pp. 33–42.

- Hobder, Rodney, 1998, "Hume on Miracles: Bayesian Interpretation, Multiple Testimony, and the Existence of God," *British Journal for the Philosophy of Science* 49, pp. 49–65.
- Houston, Joseph, 1994, *Reported Miracles: A Critique of Hume*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Hudson, Charles, 1857, *Doubts Concerning the Battle of Bunker's Hill*, Boston and Cambridge: James Munroe and Co..
- Hume, David, 1748 et seq., *An Enquiry Concerning Human Understanding*, Ed. Tom L. Beauchamp. New York: Oxford University Press, 2000.
- Huxley, Thomas Henry, 1894, *Hume: with Helps to the Study of Berkeley*, London: Macmillan and Co.
- Jenkin, Robert, 1708, *The Reasonableness and Certainty of the Christian Religion*, 2nd ed., vol. 2, London: Richard Sare.
- Johnson, David, 1999, *Hume, Holism, and Miracles*, Ithaca: Cornell University Press.
- Joyce, George Hayward, 1914, *The Question of Miracles*, St. Louis: B. Herder.
- Kruskal, William, 1988, "Miracles and Statistics: the Casual Assumption of Independence," *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 83, pp. 929–940.
- Langtry, Bruce, 1985, "Miracles and Principles of Relative Likelihood," *International Journal for Philosophy of Religion* 18, pp. 123–131.
- Langtry, Bruce, 1990, "Hume, Probability, Lotteries and Miracles," *Hume Studies* 16, pp. 67–74.
- Larmer, Robert, 1988, *Water Into Wine? An Investigation of the Concept of Miracle*, Montreal & Kingston: McGill-Queen's University Press.
- Larmer, Robert, 2004, "Miracles and Overall: An Apology for Atheism?" *Dialogue* 43, pp. 555–568.
- Leland, John, 1755, *A View of the Principal Deistical Writers*, vol. 2, London: B. Dod.
- Leslie, Charles, 1697, *A Short and Easy Method with the Deists*, London: F. C. and J. Rivington, 1815.
- Less, Gottfried, 1773, *Wahrheit der christlichen Religion*, Göttingen & Bremen: Georg Ludewig Färster.
- Levine, Michael, 1989, *Hume and the Problem of Miracles: A Solution*, Dordrecht: Kluwer Publishers.
- Levine, Michael, 1998, "Bayesian Analyses of Hume's Argument concerning Miracles," *Philosophy and Theology* 10 (1), pp. 101–106.
- Levine, Michael, 2002, "Review of Hume's Abject Failure: The Argument Against Miracles," *Hume Studies* 28.
- Lewis, C. S., 1947, *Miracles*. New York: Macmillan.
- Lias, John James, 1883, *Are Miracles Credible?* London: Hodder and Stoughton.
- Locke, John, 1706, *A Discourse of Miracles*, In Ian T. Ramsey, ed., *The Reasonableness of Christianity*, Stanford: Stanford University Press, 1958, pp. 78–87.
- Mackie, J. L., 1982, *The Miracle of Theism*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- McGrew, Timothy, 2005, "Review of Robert Fogelin, *A Defense of Hume on Miracles*," *Mind* 114, pp.145–149.
- McGrew, Timothy & Lydia, 2009, "The Argument from Miracles," in William Lane Craig and J. P. Moreland, eds., *The Blackwell Companion to Natural Theology*, New York: Blackwell, pp. 593–662.
- Millican, Peter, 2002, *Reading Hume on Human Understanding*, Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Montgomery, John Warwick, 1978, "Science, Theology, and the Miraculous," *Journal of the American Scientific Association* 30: 145–153.
- Morgan, Thomas, 1739, *The Moral Philosopher*, vol. 2, London: Printed for the author.
- Mozely, James Bowling, 1865, *Eight Lectures on Miracles*. London: Rivingtons.
- O'Collins, Gerald, and David Kendall, 1996, "Reissuing Venturini," in O'Collins and Kendall, eds., *Focus on Jesus: Essays in Soteriology and Christology*, Herefordshire: Fowler Wright Books, pp. 153–75.
- Oppy, Graham, 2006, *Arguing about Gods*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Overall, Christine, 1985, "Miracles as Evidence Against the Existence of God," *The Southern Journal of Philosophy* 23: 47–53.
- Overall, Christine, 1997, "Miracles and God: A Reply to Robert H. Larmer," *Dialogue* 36: 741–752.
- Overall, Christine, 2003, "Miracles and Larmer," *Dialogue* 42: 123–135.
- Owen, David, 1987, "Hume versus Price on Miracles and Prior Probabilities: Testimony and the Bayesian Calculation," *Philosophical Quarterly* 37: 187–202.
- Paley, William, 1794, *A View of the Evidences of Christianity*, London: John W. Parker and Son, 1859.
- Peirce, Charles S., 1958, *Values in a Universe of Chance: Selected Writings of Charles S. Peirce*, Ed. Philip P. Wiener. New York: Doubleday Anchor.
- Powell, Baden, 1859, *The Order of Nature: Considered in Reference to the Claims of Revelation*, London: Longman, Brown, Green, Longmans, & Roberts.
- Price, Richard, 1777, *Four Dissertations*, 4th ed. London: T. Cadell.
- Russell, Paul, 2008, "Hume on Religion," *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy* (Winter 2008 Edition), Edward N. Zalta (ed.)
- Schlesinger, George, 1987, "Miracles and Probabilities," *Nous* 21: 219–232.
- Schlesinger, George, 1991, "The Credibility of Extraordinary Events," *Analysis* 51: 120–126.
- Sherlock, Thomas, 1729, *The Trial of the Witnesses of the Resurrection of Jesus*, Philadelphia: Presbyterian Board of Publication, n.d. [1843].
- Sobel, Jordan Howard, 1987, "On the Evidence of Testimony for Miracles: A Bayesian Interpretation of Hume's Analysis," *Philosophical Quarterly* 37: 166–186.
- Sobel, Jordan Howard, 1991, "Hume's Theorem on Testimony Sufficient to Establish a Miracle," *Philosophical Quarterly*

- Sobel, Jordan Howard, 2004, *Logic and Theism: Arguments for and Against Beliefs in God*, Cambridge University Press: Cambridge.
- Sober, Elliott, 2004, “A Modest Proposal,” *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research* 68: 487–494.
- Spinoza, Baruch, 1670, *Tractatus Theologico-Politicus*. London: Trübner and Co., 1862.
- Starkie, Thomas, 1876, *A Practical Treatise on the Law of Evidence*, 10th ed., Philadelphia: T. & J. W. Johnson & Co.
- Stephen, Leslie, 1876, *History of English Thought in the Eighteenth Century*, vol. 1, London: Smith, Elder, & Co.
- Stewart, M.A., 1995, “Hume's Historical View of Miracles,” in *Hume and Hume's Connexions*, M.A. Stewart (ed.), University Park: Pennsylvania State University Press.
- Strauss, David Friedrich, 1879, *A New Life of Jesus*, 2nd edition, Volume 1, London: Williams and Norgate.
- Swinburne, Richard, 1970, *The Concept of a Miracle*, London: Macmillan and Co.
- Swinburne, Richard, 1977, *The Coherence of Theism*, Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Swinburne, Richard, 1979, *The Existence of God*, Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Swinburne, Richard, ed., 1989, *Miracles*, New York: Macmillan.
- Swinburne, Richard, 1993, *Revelation: From Metaphor to Analogy*, Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Swinburne, Richard, 2003, *The Resurrection of God Incarnate*, Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Taylor, James E., 2007, “Hume on Miracles: Interpretation and Criticism,” *Philosophy Compass*, 2(4): 611–624
- Toland, John, 1702, *Christianity Not Mysterious*, London: n.p.
- Trench, Richard Chenevix, 1847, *Notes on the Miracles of Our Lord*, 2nd ed., London: John W. Parker.
- Troeltsch, Ernst, 1913, “Über historische und dogmatische Methode in der Theologie,” in *Gesammelte Schriften* 2, Tübingen: J. C. B. Mohr, pp. 729–753.
- Tucker, Aviezer, 2005, “Miracles, Historical Testimonies, and Probabilities,” *History and Theory* 44: 373–390.
- Twelftree, Graham H., ed., 2009, *The Cambridge Companion to Miracles*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Tweyman, Stanley, ed., 1996, *Hume on Miracles*, Bristol, Thoemmes Press.
- Venn, John, 1888, *The Logic of Chance*, 3rd ed., London: Macmillan and Co.
- Venturini, Karl, 1800, *Natürliche Geschichte des grossen Propheten von Nazareth*, Copenhagen.
- Voltaire, 1764, *Philosophical Dictionary*, in *The Works of Voltaire*, vol. 11, New York: E. R. DuMont, 1901.
- Wardlaw, Ralph, 1852, *On Miracles*, Edinburgh: A. Fullarton and Co.
- Warfield, Benjamin, 1918, *Counterfeit Miracles*, New York: Charles Scribner's Sons.
- Whately, Richard, 1819, *Historic Doubts Relative to Napoleon Bonaparte*, Andover: Warren F. Draper, 1874.
- Whately, Richard, 1826, *Elements of Logic*, London: Longmans, Green, Reader and Dyer, 1870.
- Zabell, Sandy, 1988, “The Probabilistic Analysis of Testimony,” *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference* 20: 327–354

## THE WEB

The Problem of Competing Claims by Richard Carrier  
[www.infidels.org/library/modern/richard\\_carrier/indef/4c.html](http://www.infidels.org/library/modern/richard_carrier/indef/4c.html)