

AUGUST 2003 UNPUBLISHED LETTER TO THE SUNDAY INDEPENDENT RELATING TO MS EMER O'KELLY'S ARTICLE SAYING THAT CATHOLICS WHO DID NOT LEAVE THE CHURCH OVER CLERICAL SEX-ABUSE WERE ACCESSORIES TO ITS CRIMES

I wish Emer O' Kelly's article saying the faithful are accessories to Church corruption had stated that the main way to become as bad as the Church hierarchy is to pay the weekly contribution to the Church. Had the faithful all withheld funds much of the evil in the Church would have been hastily corrected by Church leaders. The good works of the Church are no excuse for paying it for all corrupt organisations cynically need to do some good to get support.

Christians agree with Jesus that God sends many children to everlasting torment which shows that cruelty to children is a part of the faith they embrace. And they cover this up by saying God is not to blame as if the Almighty couldn't wait until the children were ready for heaven before taking them out of this world. It is inhuman to accept a belief like that when there are so many nicer things you could believe and nicer religions you could join. To say that God and what he has revealed comes first really means that what men say about God and what he has done comes first so it puts dogma before people, before children. I'll get to the point, child-abuse is supported despite its being condemned by Christian doctrine.

Hurting people with dogma, eg that heresy is a sin, is fanatical for you are surer that people bleed and suffer than that the dogmas are true. Live without religion for spirituality and religion are not the same.