

QUESTIONING THE SINCERITY AND INTEGRITY OF THE POPE

The pope is always a bastion of conservative right wing bigotry and bias. Yet he strangely gets off rather lightly by the media. In a culture that worries about the hatred fomented by patriarchy this is very strange.

Some however are not blind and see religion as a con. Let us look at the sincerity of the pope.

Is the pope sincere when he pretends to hate sins and not sinners to avoid the legal consequences of openly advocating hatred? He advocates the kind of hatred that is bottled up and leads to mental disorders.

Is the pope sincere when he says that marriage is a union of love when the truth is if you could wave a magic wand and get the most exciting and attractive partner in the world without hurting anyone you definitely would so the love for your partner is just superficial and taking second, third or fourth or whatever best for it is better than nothing?

Is the pope sincere when he says marriage is for life and yet allows separation which means the marriage exists only in law but not in reality?

Is the pope sincere that he cares for women when he asks them to vow to become the lawful partner of their husbands for better or for worse meaning they are willing to throw away their lives even if their husband should prove to be wife-beaters for they have the most to suffer, because of society and they are true rock for the children, if a marriage turns sour?

Is the pope sincere when he says how bad divorce is and yet if a Catholic marries a non-baptised person such as a Jew or a Muslim he will grant them a divorce – this is called the Pauline Privilege?

Is the pope sincere when he doesn't enforce the Bible teaching given by God – Jesus said that the Genesis account of Adam and Eve was true which means he agreed that God ordered that Adam be Eve's master - that husbands are the heads of the wives or make sure the wedding ceremony stresses that so that all are sure of what marriage is about, the subjection of female to male in the name of love?

Is the pope sincere when he allows communion in the hand though if the whole wafer is the body of Christ bits of it that you can hardly see will be stuck to the hand and lost?

Is the pope sincere when he teaches salvation by faith and good works and sacraments when the Bible he says is authored by God teaches that salvation is by faith alone and not as a result of works (Ephesians 2:8-10) – the Bible says works and not earnings so he knows it is doing more than supporting the Catholic notion that you can't earn salvation here but it is supporting Protestantism?

Is the pope sincere when he says we must tell people the unpalatable truth about themselves in a gentle way even though that is still insulting them and the only reason they are not offended is because of the way they are conditioned?

Is the pope sincere when he says that his Church is the one true Church for it is united in one faith when most of his own theologians and flock are against him and disagree with one another and are one Church only in name and any time they do agree they disagree on why they believe meaning their faith is not the same at all for it is faith in their reasons?

Is the pope sincere when he says that miracles show the Roman Catholic Church is the only true Church when his Church will not even investigate miracles on the scientific level that contradict Catholic dogma so he is being selective and dishonest with the evidence?

Is it sincere of the pope to say you have an obligation to believe what is in the Bible and tradition and their miracles but not to believe in miracles that have happened since the apostles died for is not that double standards and many of the latest miracles are better verified than anything in the Bible?

Is the pope sincere when he accepts the miracles of the Middle Ages as true though by today's standards many of them they would have been scientifically debunked?

Is the pope sincere when he says the resurrection of Jesus took place even though the gospels do nothing to prove that the burial of Jesus was not a magic trick thus explaining the empty tomb and that the Devil did the other miracles like the visions of Jesus and would the fact that there are problems with believing the resurrection not suggest that the Devil was

involved?

Is the pope sincere when we would have to tell ourselves that the body of Jesus couldn't have been stolen and the visions of the risen Jesus must have been real to believe in the resurrection which means that we consider the resurrection to be the most reasonable explanation of the data whereas in fact the rule is that a natural explanation must be accepted unless there is absolute proof that the miracle happened which is unobtainable? The whole of Christianity is based on hatred of reason and therefore of the only thing that is best for people.

Is the pope sincere when he says that creation is a miracle of God and then that we should look for rational explanations and find none before we should accept a miracle story? Something cannot come from nothing - no rational explanation is possible if it can or has. So creation implies that rational explanations are worthless. Also God cannot create even if things have come out of nothing. He didn't use material to make stuff for there was no material. Something coming out of nothing would be so impossible to explain rationally that it makes no sense to say that God was behind it - even if he exists. God didn't use any power to make. So to say he makes all out of nothing is absurd. If our existence is a miracle then what is so great about rational explanations? The pope is scheming to get some control over what miracles we take as evidence for what religion is true. He wants us to think that there are miracle that show that the Catholic faith is correct. He wants us to think that miracles occur to verify the Catholic faith and that the miracles reported by faiths that condemn him as a fraud are false.

Is the pope sincere when he knows that Zen Buddhism which has no doctrine but just a technique for achieving an ecstasy that is experientially everlasting though you paradoxically come out of it again and gives meaning to life is wiser than Catholicism which makes people look forward to death to have an eternal bliss that might not even exist?

If the pope is sincere and somebody says an angel revealed four gospels that seem convincing that somebody else is the messiah and not Jesus would the pope forsake Jesus even if these gospels seem more convincing than his four gospels?

Is the pope sincere when he says that using artificial contraception is wrong for fertility is not an illness but a blessing when he lets you change your blonde hair to black though blonde hair should be a natural blessing from God and lets you wear glasses though your eyesight though faulty is not a problem?

Is the pope sincere when he says that sex must always be open to new life in case God wants to send a baby and allows barren couples to have sex?

Is the pope sincere when he allows "natural" birth regulation which can only spoil sex for the partners are afraid of having another baby and when if it is up to God to send a baby as both Jesus and the pope teach then why not trust in God and not worry about natural family planning at all? Natural family planning indicates mistrust in God and if birth control is bad so is it.

Is it kind of the pope to say that condoms should be banned even when it is mostly those who use them for occasional sex which will do no harm that seek them and is it not murderous of him to want two people who will have sex to do it without condoms and spread AIDS? What does he mean when he says he has compassion for those who feel they need contraception or divorce? He can't mean it. He can't think, "Oh dear I wish I could allow contraception or divorce", for if these things are evil and sinful that is not loving of him to think that. He believes that temptations come from a flawed character. Past sins lead to temptations. Thus he would be unable to feel sorry for them because he would hold that if they had tried to be holier they would welcome these challenges so that there would be nothing for anybody to think about feeling sorry for them about.

Is the pope sincere when he says that two ethical homosexuals who love each other are disordered and should be urged to end the relationship though he says his clergy who refuse to love in the name of celibacy are not disordered?

Is the pope sincere when he does not emphasise that doubters should be encouraged to leave the Church if they can't find solutions? Accepting that people make errors is a part of life. When people make so many errors they should be encouraged to leave the Catholic Church if they think it is wrong even if they are wrong.

Is the pope sincere when he apologised to the Jews and never gave them back what his Church took from them in money and land?

Is it kind of the pope to say that the Eucharist is God's most beneficial gift and then to deprive most of the poor of it by not allowing married men and women to be ordained meaning that it is better to do this than to ordain married men and women which signifies real hatred for them?

Is the pope sincere when he would fire a bishop for ordaining priests without his consent and keep on a bishop that was

proven to have covered up for paedophile priests and does this not make the pope a supporter of paedophiles?

Is it good of the pope to say the Catholics in China who undergo death and persecution for refusing to stop acknowledging the pope when they could save themselves by joining the breakaway Catholic Church of China do right?

Is not the pope's teaching that God comes first for he is the sustainer and creator of all life so we should not be afraid to give up our lives for him an encouragement to those who want to commit religious murders?

Is the pope sincere when he says we must love God alone and then that we must love others for his sake which really means we are not valuing them but God and tricking them that we value them? The pope evilly approves of Jesus who said that the main and first commandment and greatest is to love God with all your being and loving yourself and your neighbour is only in second place. Though the two go together according to Christian illogic, it is clear that if there is a choice to be made you should not love your neighbour and yourself but God.

Is not the pope asking us to love God and obey him really asking us to love and obey the pope's perception of God for nobody can know God directly and those that say they do don't agree on very much?

Is the pope sincere when he makes Catholics of young people who do not have the resources to make an intelligent and sensible decision that they want to be part of the Catholic Church and since when did children not wanting confirmation in the faith get a choice?

Is it sincere of the pope to command his Church to charge for masses for the dead when there are other ways to make money and this amounts to selling the sacraments?

If the pope is sincere then why does he say that God allows evil to bring good out of it when the fact is we must put this thought that evil has uses out of our mind? Most people claim that they do evil to bring about good. The rule of harm none seeks to prevent that but the pope has evils such as forcing a woman to stay married to her evil husband that he calls good!

Is the pope sincere when he says that God lets us kill each other if we wish for he respects free will which really means that free will is more important than human life which is a totally ridiculous and callous thing to say and shows how belief in deity is intrinsically violent? To even try and justify God after that speaks of the presence of human evil.

Is it not true that if the pope really believes human life is the supreme value he would sell the Vatican treasures and give them away to the poor and it is better for the pontifical mass to be held in a huge tent than in an expensive basilica?

Is it wise to believe the pope when he says he does the evils we accuse him of preserve the gospel and stand by it and not to inflate his own ego when nothing can be more important than human life?

Is the pope sincere when he forbids abortion even to save the mother's life though he would let you kill an innocent lunatic to save your own life and has loads of ridiculous miracles and apparitions to back him up for no truly good God would agree with him?

Is the pope sincere when he honours women and prays to Mary though he hates the female sex so much that he would rather they would all die rather than have life-saving abortions?

Is the pope sincere when he allows the removal of a diseased womb to save the mother's life though it will kill her unborn baby for it is not intended to kill the baby but to remove her womb and make her better (so its not abortion) for if abortion is never right that means the child comes before the mother so the womb should be let alone?

Is the pope sincere when he claims that both mother and foetus have an equal right to life for if a foetus with no intelligence or consciousness like an adult with a developed brain would have is equal to an adult then surely its life is more important for the adult has lived properly and it hasn't?

Is the pope sincere when he allows the slaughter and eating of the higher animals such as sheep for a really moral person would only allow the eating of animals that don't know they are alive?

Is the pope sincere when he regards the Bible as the word of God despite its God urging cruelty to animals in the Temple cult?

Is the pope sincere when people are in car crashes and fear they are dying have to endure the horror of dying without the last sacraments because of his Church's teaching and is it not evil for religion to hurt people over doctrines?

What right has the pope to order priests to go to and anoint the dying which often scares them out of their wits and upsets any young children they may have? Imagine a Catholic in a car crash who doesn't have the priest to forgive their sins by anointing before they die! The Church says you need the priest for assurance of pardon and even then there is no guarantee but its worse without the priest.

Is the pope sincere when his God teaches that serious sinners roast in Hell forever in torment which is an idea very harmful and frightening to children and even to not mention the doctrine but get them to accept Christ who taught it as infallible is just as bad and very manipulative?

If the pope really cares about people then why does he say it is better to die in a car crash than to commit a mortal sin like pre-marital sex which is putting religion before people and endorsing fanatical faith which is the first step towards religious terrorism?

Is the pope sincere when he says that who commit adultery, contraception, homosexuality, masturbation are committing mortal sin and so will burn in Hell forever because there are countless versions of the gospel of Christ that are more humane and he rejects them for he prefers his version which really means he condemns them because he wants to?

Is the pope sincere when he claims to be infallible under some conditions and says that infallibility is not divine inspiration but just protection from error when he researches a religious topic when it is evident that some inspiration would be necessary for him to get the right answer? If the pope is inspired then that is contrary to the Roman Catholic doctrine that the faith was given entirely to the apostles and that the Church only identifies and clarifies what belongs to their teaching and that there is no new revelation and the Church has no authority to make new doctrines.

Is the pope sincere when he says his tradition is infallible and the Catholic Church cannot err much for the Holy Spirit gives it special guidance and when his Church doesn't condemn smoking as sinful?

Is the pope serious when he dares to say that Jesus has made him and his Church infallible and not the state which has the power to wage war and execute criminals as if dogma is more important than human life and few Catholics care if the Church really is infallible and dispute it and even believers can come up with ways to deny that infallible declarations fulfilled the conditions for being infallible?

Is the pope sincere when he does such terrible things - he orders simple people who will obey to reject condoms and to beg their rapists not to use them even if it means they will get AIDS - and expects us to like him and is it right to like him and be repulsed by other evil people? Is his compassion any different from the compassion a paedophile may show for the child he has left bleeding? It is repulsive. Jesus let rip at the Pharisees and scribes for laying a heavy burden on people and doing little to help them with it - the pope then as long as he doesn't sell the Vatican to help pay for the AIDS treatment of the people who obey him is under the same condemnation. It is reasonable for this to be done.

Is the pope sincere when he claims that there is far too much merit to atone for sin and he can use the surplus to cancel the punishment of the souls in Purgatory through giving out indulgences. This contradicts the doctrine that serious sin is endlessly bad for if it is endlessly bad it needs an endless atonement. It insults the Bible doctrine that the blood of Jesus Christ cleanses us from all sin which is in the First Epistle of John. The Church contradicts John the apostle so it can hardly deserve to be called the apostolic Church.

Is the pope sincere when he has people paying for Masses to atone for the souls in Purgatory to help pay their debt to God so that they may be released sooner when through his power of indulgences he could release them all at a stroke? This is simply the Church using the dead to make money. It is prostituting the dead. It is the sin of Simony for which Peter invoked great evil on Simon Magus for committing. The Church will say the dead deserve to be in Purgatory so no wrong is being done. But they deserve far more to be in the healing presence of God. The pope evilly and unnecessarily keeps them out. Antichrist. It amounts to child abuse considering that some of the souls will be children who died horribly on earth. The pope and the priests think they did not suffer enough.

Is the pope sincere when he says that God being all-good does not make evil for evil is not a real thing but distorted good? The pope uses that to help excuse God letting people suffer. It is hard-hearted to tell a sick person that the useless bacteria eating them alive is good in the wrong place when the bacteria is good for nothing. Yet it is blasphemy to say the bacteria is bad for implies God makes bad things. Perhaps God makes them so that we can use his other creations to make bleach and destroy them? Times we can do nothing so that idea doesn't help much!

Is the pope sincere when he knows from history that if the bishop of Rome was made the head of the Church then he would be head of administration of the Church which administration is only a recent development? He would choose all the bishops. But no bishop of Rome chose bishops - the people did - and that went on for centuries. None of the bishops of Rome in the first millennium then were popes. How dare the secular media take seriously the claim that the present pope is

whatever number since Peter! That is historical revisionism and a lie. But does the Church care???????????

If the pope is insincere what does that say about the clergy of his Church for any one of them could become pope?