

## DID A PSALM PREDICT THE RESURRECTION OF JESUS CHRIST FROM THE DEAD?

The gospels are clear as is the supposedly risen Jesus, that his resurrection should not be a surprise for he rose from the dead.

Psalm 16:10. "You will not abandon me to Sheol (the place of the dead), neither will You suffer Your holy one [Holy One] to see corruption."

Alleged Fulfilment. The resurrection of Jesus from the dead.

New Testament Interpretation. Peter dishonestly said that God intended this meaning (Acts 2).

The Truth. It does not say it is about the Messiah and presents itself as King David's work.

Peter told the lie that David's tomb still had his body in it but nobody even knew anything about his remains then. So by a process of elimination he worked out it meant Jesus not David. That was trickery.

Read the Psalm and see that it never actually says that somebody will rise from the dead. It could be talking about recovery from grave illness that took the person to the brink of death. Any fake messiah could fake an illness like that and make a dramatic recovery. Only false prophets use prophecies that are not clear and specific. Peter was inadvertently accusing the psalmist of just that. Jesus prophesied that his resurrection was predicted which proves that whatever kind of prophet he was he was not a real one.

The only prophecies in the Bible that speak of a resurrection speak of that of King David from the dead. There is no need to take them metaphorically in this. David would rise to rule Israel in peace and forever according to the laws of God (Jeremiah 30:9; Ezekiel 34:23-24 and 37:24). Therefore the resurrection of Jesus marks him out as a false messiah sent by evil angels to get in the way of David the true Messiah. How? Because with David going to rise there was no need for Jesus to rise. And because nothing ever said in the Old Testament that anybody else would rise in the way Jesus reportedly did. The prophets had to avoid being vague to be considered seriously for examination to see if they were real prophets so Ezekiel meant David when he said David.

We are asked to believe that the apostles had no intention of promoting Jesus and preaching until they got the Holy Spirit. Then as soon as this happens Peter comes up with this interpretation of the verse. This clearly shows pre-planning and manipulation.

Don't forget that Sheol meant a place where your lifeforce goes and is a shadow of itself. If Jesus was there then your idea that he lived after he died and the body was just given back is wrong. He was a ghost like everybody or rather everything else in Sheol.

### BOOKS CONSULTED

- Alleged Discrepancies of the Bible, John W Haley, Whitaker House, Pennsylvania, undated
- Are There Hidden Codes in the Bible? Ralph O Muncaster, Harvest House Publishers, Eugene, Oregon, 2000
- Attack on the Bible, John R Rice, Sword of the Lord, Murfreesboro, Tennessee, 1965
- Bible Dictionary and Concordance, New American Bible, Catholic Edition, CD Stampley Enterprises, Charlotte Enterprises, Inc, North Carolina, 1971
- Encyclopaedia of Bible Difficulties, Gleason W Archer, Zondervan, Grand Rapids, Michigan, 1982
- Evidence that Demands a Verdict, Vol 1, Alpha, Scripture Press Foundation, Bucks, 1995
- God's Word, Final, Infallible and Forever, Floyd C McElveen, Gospel Truth Ministries, Grand Rapids, 1985
- In Search of Certainty, John Guest, Regal Books, Ventura, California, 1983
- Jesus Hypotheses, V Messori, St Paul Publications, Slough, 1977
- Science and the Bible, Henry Morris, Moody Press, Bucks, 1988
- Science Speaks, Peter W Stoner, Robert C Newman, Moody Press, Chicago, 1976
- The Bible Code, Michael Drosnin, Orion, London, 2000
- The Case for Jesus the Messiah, John Ankerberg Harvest House, Eugene, Oregon, 1989
- The Hard Sayings of Jesus, FF Bruce, Hodder & Stoughton, London, 1983
- The Late Great Planet Earth, Hal Lindsay, Lakeland, London, 1974
- The Signature of God, Grant R Jeffrey, Marshall Pickering, London, 1998

The Truth Behind the Bible Code, Dr Jeffrey Satinover, Sidgwick & Jackson, London, 1997

The Truth of Christianity, WH Turton, Wells Gardner, Darton & Co Ltd, London, 1905

The Unauthorised Version, Robin Lane Fox, Penguin, Middlesex, 1992

The Virginal Conception and Bodily Resurrection of Jesus, Raymond E Brown, Paulist Press, New York, 1973

Theodore Parker's Discourses, Theodore Parker, Longmans, Green, Rader and Dyer, London, 1876

Whatever Happened to Heaven, Dave Hunt, Harvest House, Eugene, Oregon, 1988

The WWW

[www.infidels.org/library/modern/jim\\_lippard/fabulous-prophecies.html](http://www.infidels.org/library/modern/jim_lippard/fabulous-prophecies.html)

The Fabulous Prophecies of the Messiah by Jim Lippard

This site argues that Daniel's prophecy if punctuated by the Masoretic method gives a totally different meaning from the one Christians want it to have and which eliminates all attempts to make the prophecy predict the year of Jesus' death. It shows how Gleason Archer misrepresented a verse from Jeremiah as referring to Judas betraying Jesus for money and left out bits that proved that it did not refer to that event. For example, he deliberately hides the fact that Jeremiah said 17 shekels while Jesus was betrayed for 30 shekels. The Christians now say that Isaiah 7:14 was fulfilled in Ahab's time but was meant to be a double-fulfilment prophecy meaning that it predicted Jesus' virgin birth as well or that it could be applied to Jesus' birth too and was meant to be. That is ridiculous. You could say that Isaiah 53, where the suffering and death of Christ is allegedly predicted, is geared towards a double fulfilment too. And how could either it or Isaiah 7:14 be double prophecies when they each speak of only one event? The double-fulfilment idea is just imagining prophecies of Jesus where there are none and ignoring the wording of Isaiah. The site also tells us how silly the claim that the contradictory genealogies in Matthew and Luke that were given for Jesus that they can be reconciled is. The Catholic Church claims that both lists are accurate but the differences are down to levirate marriage. Levirate marriage is when a childless man dies his brother is obliged to take his wife and impregnate her with babies that are considered to belong to the dead man. So each gospeller just chose different people as part of the line. This is garbage for they differ in the number of ancestors. And my comment is that there would not have been that many levirate marriages.

[www.infidels.org/library/modern/farrell\\_till/prophecy.html](http://www.infidels.org/library/modern/farrell_till/prophecy.html)

Prophecies: Imaginary and Unfulfilled by Farrell Till

This site points out that in the original Hebrew, Micah 5:2 says that the Messiah will come out of the house of Ephrathah in Bethlehem meaning the clan or family of Ephrathah. Matthew thought it meant land. Since there is no evidence that Jesus came from this family, there is therefore no evidence that the prophecy was about him though the Gospel of Matthew tries to make out that it was. Therefore it is an abuse of scripture to say that the prophecy means Jesus. Also Matthew misread Zechariah and thought he said that the king would ride on both an ass and her colt. My comment is that for Jesus to fulfil that he had to be a proper king anointed and enthroned but Jesus was never anointed or sat in a throne. He claimed to be a king but that was not much to go on. Otherwise anybody would do as a fulfilment as long as they claimed to be king. God also promised the land to the Israelites no matter what evil they did on account of his having promised Abraham, Isaac and Jacob (Deuteronomy 9:3-6) and indeed gave them the land though he considered them totally undeserving (Exodus 33:1-6). His promise was unconditional and yet many times they lost the land. My comment is that in the last millennium the Jews were no better or worse than any other nation and were good enough people and still their land was not theirs any more. God broke his promise or the Bible is slandering him. But with Christians the Bible will come first.

[www.mindspring.com/~bab5/BIB/lessons.htm](http://www.mindspring.com/~bab5/BIB/lessons.htm) Daniel 9:17-27 Seventy Weeks of Years

This page argues regarding Daniel's 70 weeks prophecy that since the author of Daniel knew Jeremiah pretty well and how Jeremiah's prophecy that Israel would be exiled for 70 years proved false for it was 48 years that he probably assumed that the 70 years were not literal and so he might have not meant his 70 weeks to give a specific time span. It also points out how the conservatives often add the before the word anointed in verse 25 to make it seem that Jesus is being referred to and even go as far as to pretend that the seven weeks and the sixty-two weeks add up to sixty nine weeks when they could be running concurrently and indeed must be for the writer could have written 69 weeks instead of 7 and 62 weeks. It shows that the Jewish year was not 360 days long for they had reason to add on a month every three years which means that Jesus would have died about 38 AD which Christians cannot accept for Pilate was axed in 37 AD.

[www.infidels.org/library/modern/steven\\_carr/non-messianic.html](http://www.infidels.org/library/modern/steven_carr/non-messianic.html), Steven Carr, Critique of Josh McDowell's Non-Messianic Prophecies This Site cannot be overly recommended. It is superb.

[www.mindspring.com/~bab5/BIB/lessons.htm](http://www.mindspring.com/~bab5/BIB/lessons.htm), The Suffering Servant of Isaiah 53

[www.mindspring.com/~bab5/BIB/lessons.htm](http://www.mindspring.com/~bab5/BIB/lessons.htm), Isaiah 53's Suffering Servant Israel

<http://human.st/jesuspuzzle/CTVExcerptsTwo.htm>, Challenging the Verdict

[www.fortunecity.com/greenfield/bp/890/interpretation.html](http://www.fortunecity.com/greenfield/bp/890/interpretation.html), The Infamous Isaiah Scam

