

CHRIST FORBIDS THINKING FOR YOURSELF

Religion is deception.

Want proof? Here it is.

The Catholic Church in A Catechism of Christian Doctrine, Question 177, reveals that it is your typical religion when it says, "The sins against Faith are all false religions, wilful doubt, disbelief, or denial of any article of Faith, and also culpable ignorance of any of the doctrines of the Church".

Jesus condemned doubt as a sin or act of intentional malignance – so you deserve to be punished for it. He said that God will only do you the favour of answering prayer if your faith is unwavering - if you have no doubt.

If you are allowed to doubt and question and have faith only when it suits you there would be no need for Churches and Bibles - indeed it would be wrong to support them for they cause hurt and division - eg Roman Catholicism not allowing Protestants to receive communion. The Church and the Bible claim that the person who doubts or picks and chooses what he or she likes out of them and discards the rest is sinning and is calling God a liar. The fact that the person might not be doing that but merely thinking that the teachings the Church and the Bible says are God's might not be God's at all is dishonestly ignored. The person who faced with the prospect of doubting God's revelations and calling God a liar could simply change the reason for doubting. He could doubt that the messages are really God's. Then he has a free rein to doubt! Hinduism and Buddhism have no problem with doubt. It is only bigoted arrogant faiths such as Christianity and Islam and Judaism and Mormonism that do.

The Church and its Jesus are being bigoted and sectarian because they ask people who have different religious beliefs from them to doubt even if their version of religion commands that they must not doubt on pain of sin. So what they really think is that everybody should doubt their religion unless it is the Catholic Church or the Jesus. Their faith is based on a lack of concern for others and truth disguised as love. If God does miracles to defend such a faith then God loves control and power more than people. Such religion can hardly object if somebody becomes a worse bigot.

The Church says that we are obligated and expected to believe whatever God has revealed because God knows the truth and knows things we don't and he would not deceive us. This idea accuses us of calling God a liar if we start to disbelieve in the teaching we believed was his teaching or if we started to doubt that God really said such things. The latter is certainly not calling God a liar but wondering if what you have been told really came from him. But the Church hates that point being brought up and ignores it. It slanders doubters.

If you sincerely think God is a liar then you are not sinning by doubting. You are just making a mistake. If you call God a liar you are not necessarily doubting him but you could be blaspheming him. This would not be the sin of doubt but of mere blasphemy. Is what is right, right because God says so? Or is it right whether God says so or not? It has to be one or the other. If it is right because God says, then child-abuse would be right if God commands it. If something is right whether God commands it or not, then it follows that we are not necessarily evil if we disagree with God. The command not to doubt pays homage to the vicious and bigoted and intolerant idea that what is moral is only moral if God wants it to be.

If you contradict something God supposedly revealed it might be that you don't doubt him but doubt that the revelation really came from him.

No matter what way you look at it, doubt cannot be a sin. The Church has to slander doubters to justify its power and its alleged right to tell people what they must believe. The whole faith is built on a vicious and cruel and cynical lie.

Doubt may do harm like when you doubt your friend who says that there is a bomb in the building and get blown up but it is never wilfully harmful. Doubt is sincerely questioning the truth of what you are told for insincere doubt is not doubt. To condemn doubt as sinful is to condemn honest thinking, integrity and sincerity and to incite slander and hatred against anybody that does doubt. To condemn doubt is to encourage arrogance, it is wanting people to regard their faith as truth itself and refuse to change their minds for they know it all.

The evidence that God has spoken is the miracles he reportedly does to give evidence that it is he who has spoken. For example, he supposedly raised Jesus from the dead to vindicate Jesus as his infallible prophet and Son. Suppose miracles are signs. Miracles then imply that doubting your faith is a sin. How? Because if miracles are evidence, then you are indeed calling God a liar if you ignore what they seem to imply. The Church says that the simplest soul can sin through doubting the teaching God has entrusted to the Church which implies that the faith is so obviously true and the miracles so

marvellous that nobody has any excuse for not believing firmly in it not even the uneducated and naive person.

The doctrine that God guides people to the truth he has revealed logically implies that if you find your faith is weakening then you are calling God a liar so doubting even a bit is a grave sin. Mormons and Catholics have two incompatible faiths and both insist strongly that their faith has been revealed to them personally by God which shows that the doctrine is a deliberate lie. You would need to be as smart and virtuous as God to know if a communication you thought was divine inspiration really was that so the arrogance and false humility of religion is undeniable.

When religion tells you that it has the word of God it is telling you that it is your duty to listen to this word and you are calling God a liar if you ignore it or disbelieve it. It can't be a duty if you are entitled to doubt it as you see fit and should be free to! Face it, if religion were not after power it would focus on what people needed and what was right for them rather than on dogmas and saviours and things like the existence of God. Who cares what kind of God you believe in, be it a force like psychic power, a weak old man in the clouds or that God is just a metaphor for an ideal of goodness, as long as goodness is engendered and encouraged?

The goodness of faith is the basic doctrine of each religion but faith is not good for it detests the doubting of the creed that it expresses itself in and when the foundation is bad so is the structure built on it. If God does miracles to guide you to a faith he is doing you no favours nor is he being honest! Miracles are blasphemy and religion cannot exist without hypocrisy so it is evil and to blame for all the wickedness worked in its name for if it didn't exist the wickedness might not have happened. The only faith that is good is faith in yourself for if you believe you can succeed and be happy your life will be less stressful and you will find that you improve in every way. Faith in God is no substitute for this. God can inflict depression on you and take away what he gave you to test you. It is a sin to believe that God will build up your self-esteem for it mightn't be his will. And those who like God the best are guilty of that sin. They are the proof that they don't need God and are foisting an unnecessary belief on the world and asking for it to be taken seriously. What is unnecessary should not be taken seriously. Look at the deaths and suicides and people crippled with guilt over the god belief.

Christians follow Jesus who said that the most important commandment is that the Lord your God is one and you must love God with all your heart, soul, strength and mind (Mark 12:29-34). For Jews and Muslims this commandment is binding as well as it originated with the prophet Moses who got it from God. This commandment has a hidden teaching. Part of it declares that there is only one God. So believing in God is far more important than loving him because you can't love him that much unless you believe in him first. Jesus then sanctioned the evil of dogmatism and narrowmindedness – that is why Catholics are expelled from the Church for saying Mary wasn't sinless all her days and if they advocate and believe in murdering they will not be expelled. Dogmatism is refusing to look honestly at anything that contradicts your opinion. It is making a god of your opinion. It is glorifying the evil of bias. How much better it is to unpeel your eyes and go where the truth and facts lead you!

Whoever asks you not to doubt teachings such as that a rapist who wears a condom sins more than one who does not, as that a child of seven can die and go to Hell to suffer forever, that it is better for hundreds of people to give their lives to save the pope, that Jesus should have suffered like he did for our sins, like that babies come into existence without being God's friends, that not having your child baptised is worse than raping the child, that sin is the worst evil of all, that the communion wafer is the most important person in the world, is simply vile. It shows that the Church fears the doctrines are evil but wants to stop people seeing that. What could be more vindictive than that?

Let people guide you but you remember you don't have to agree with anybody, pope or prophet or Jesus, for all alleged revelations from God are just human opinion and thinking and there is nothing intrinsically sacred about them!

BOOKS CONSULTED

A CATECHISM OF CHRISTIAN DOCTRINE, CTS, London, 1985

A HISTORY OF PHILOSOPHY, VOL 6, PART II, KANT, Frederick Copleston SJ, Doubleday/Image, New York 1964

AQUINAS, FC Copleston, Penguin Books, London, 1991

BEYOND GOOD AND EVIL, Friedrich Nietzsche, Penguin, London, 1990

BOOK OF COMMON PRAYER, Association for the Promotion of Christian Knowledge, Dublin, 1960

CATECHISM OF THE CATHOLIC CHURCH, Veritas, London, 1995

CHARITY, MEDITATIONS FOR A MONTH, Richard F Clarke SJ, Catholic Truth Society, London, 1973

CHRISTIANITY FOR THE TOUGH-MINDED, Edited by John Warwick Montgomery, Bethany Fellowship, Minnesota, 1973

CRISIS OF MORAL AUTHORITY, Don Cupitt, SCM Press, London, 1995

EVIDENCE THAT DEMANDS A VERDICT, VOL 1, Josh McDowell, Alpha, Scripture Press Foundation, Bucks, 1995

ECUMENICAL JIHAD, Peter Kreeft, Ignatius Press, San Francisco, 1996

GOD IS NOT GREAT, THE CASE AGAINST RELIGION, Christopher Hitchens, Atlantic Books, London, 2007

GOD WITHOUT RELIGION, Questioning Centuries of Accepted Truths, Sankara Saranam, Benbella, Dallas, Texas, 2008

THE GREAT MEANS OF SALVATION AND OF PERFECTION, St Alphonsus De Ligouri, Redemptorist Fathers, Brooklyn, 1988

HANDBOOK OF CHRISTIAN APOLOGETICS, Peter Kreeft and Ronald Tacelli, Monarch, East Sussex, 1995

HONEST TO GOD, John AT Robinson, SCM, London, 1963

HOW DOES GOD LOVE ME? Radio Bible Class, Grand Rapids, Michigan, 1986

IN DEFENCE OF THE FAITH, Dave Hunt, Harvest House, Eugene, Oregon, 1996

MADAME GUYON, MARTYR OF THE HOLY SPIRIT, Phyllis Thompson, Hodder & Stoughton, London, 1986

MORAL PHILOSOPHY, Joseph Rickaby SJ, Stonyhurst Philosophy Series, Longmans Green and Co, London, 1912

OXFORD DICTIONARY OF PHILOSOPHY, Simon Blackburn, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1996

PRACTICAL ETHICS, Peter Singer, Cambridge University Press, England, 1994

PSYCHOLOGY, George A Miller, Penguin, London, 1991

RADIO REPLIES, 1, Frs Rumble & Carty, Radio Replies Press, St Paul, Minnesota, 1938

RADIO REPLIES, 2, Frs Rumble & Carty, Radio Replies Press, St Paul, Minnesota, 1940

RADIO REPLIES, 3, Frs Rumble & Carty, Radio Replies Press, St Paul, Minnesota, 1942

REASON AND BELIEF, Brand Blanshard, George Allen and Unwin Ltd, 1974

REASONS FOR HOPE, Ed Jeffrey A Mirus, Christendom College Press, Virginia, 1982

THE ATONEMENT: MYSTERY OF RECONCILIATION, Kevin McNamara, Archbishop of Dublin, Veritas, Dublin, 1987

SINNERS IN THE HANDS OF AN ANGRY GOD, Jonathan Edwards, Sword of the Lord, Murfreesboro, Tennessee, undated

THE BIBLE TELLS US SO, R B Kuiper, The Banner of Truth Trust, Edinburgh, 1978

THE BRIEF OF ST ANTHONY OF PADUA (Vol 44, No 4)

THE GOOD, THE BAD & THE MORAL DILEMMA, G R Evans, Lion Books, Oxford, 2007

THE GREAT MEANS OF SALVATION AND OF PERFECTION, St Alphonsus De Ligouri, Redemptorist Fathers, Brooklyn, 1988

THE IMITATION OF CHRIST, Thomas A Kempis, Translated by Ronald Knox and Michael Oakley, Universe, Burns & Oates, London, 1963

THE LIFE OF ALL LIVING, Fulton J Sheen, Image Books, New York, 1979

THE NEW WALK, Captain Reginald Wallis, The Christian Press, Pembridge Villas, England, undated

THE PRACTICE OF THE PRESENCE OF GOD, Brother Lawrence, Hodder & Stoughton, London, 1981

THE PROBLEM OF PAIN, CS Lewis, Fontana, London, 1972

THE PUZZLE OF GOD, Peter Vardy, Collins, London, 1990

THE SATANIC BIBLE, Anton Szandor LaVey, Avon Books, New York, 1969

THE SPIRITUAL GUIDE, Michael Molinos, Christian Books, Gardiner Maine, 1982

THE STUDENT'S CATHOLIC DOCTRINE, Rev Charles Hart BA, Burns & Oates, London, 1961

UNBLIND FAITH, Michael J Langford, SCM, London, 1982