

CATHOLIC CHURCH LIES THAT THE WHORE OF BABYLON IS JERUSALEM? THIS IS SLANDER AND WHY DOES IT DO IT?

The Whore of Babylon in the Book of Revelation is assumed by some to mean the Roman Empire and by others to mean the Catholic Church. Some resort to anti-semitism to make out it was Jerusalem.

The book of Revelation calls Jerusalem Sodom and Egypt. It speaks of the great whore who sits on seven hills. Catholics want you to believe that the whore is Jerusalem which makes no sense. Revelation has already disparaged the holy city. So why does it not say straight out what the whore is?

She is a vast religious and political empire.

If Peter was the first pope - and we know he supervised the Jerusalem Church then at the time - clearly then the condemnation could be directed at his Church and the whore of Babylon refers to the Church when it moves to Rome. If Peter resigned the papacy it could be that he was not pope then and was not pope in Rome.

The Roma coin issued by Vespasian in 71 AD could be the inspiration for the book of Revelation. It depicts a goddess, Roma, in the same pose as the whore of Revelation sitting on hills with what seems to be water at her feet. Her pose is arrogant, salacious, drunk and suggestive. Revelation using this image would indicate that what John was writing about the whore would be valid after 71 AD. He seems to have expected the image to become standard which was why he used it so much. It didn't but that would be beside the point.

Revelation 18:20, 24 reports gloating over the fall of the whore. It calls on saints and apostles and prophets to rejoice for God has judged her for the way she treated them. Catholics say apostles only existed in the first century because to be an apostle you had to see the risen Jesus. But the text does not say it meant the top apostles. The Catholics know fine well that the word apostle was used to describe people who didn't have visions but who were merely missionaries. The text does not say it mean the official twelve apostles. The Church always used the word apostle and prophet loosely at times to speak of people who were not regarded as additional apostles to the 12 or as prophets with full authority.

Catholics say that the whore is not the great beast which features in Revelation as well. This is correct. The book says she rides on the back of the beast. They say she is in an alliance with the seven-headed Beast from Revelation 13:1-10. That Beast was the symbol of pagan rule. We know this for the symbolism used to describe the best takes animal elements from four major pagan empires (compare Revelation 13:1-2 with Daniel. 7:1-8). Catholics are mistaken to assume that the beast is pagan Rome and the whore is Jerusalem. The two were NOT in an alliance. That is a Catholic lie. The woman rides the beast and controls it. **JERUSALEM NEVER CONTROLLED ROME BUT THE ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURCH DID CONTROL ROME!** Babylon is described as the Mother of Harlots and of ALL the world's abominations. The beast is one expression of those abominations and she is his mother. Now you see that clerical child abuse is not the only thing that top level Catholics cover up.

The Catholics say that the woman sits on seven mountains but Rome sits on seven hills not mountains. Let us pretend that Revelation really says that. It doesn't. Revelation is symbolically exaggerating the hills as mountains to indicate the significance of the whore. The seven hills of Rome are meant. They are the seven most important hills in the world so they are represented as mountains to reflect that. The Catholics note that the seven mountains are symbols of seven kings five of whom have fallen and one lives now and the last is yet to come and will reign briefly (Revelation 17:10). Would she sit on seven kings? Not literally. The Catholics use this to argue that just like the whore was said to sit on many waters and the waters represented people that her sitting on the mountains was not intended to indicate where she was sitting.

Ask yourself this. We are told the whore sits on seven mountains. Then we are told the seven mountains stand for seven kings. But we know the whore as an empire can have her seat on seven hills meaning the city of Rome and these seven hills can be used as symbols for the kings. A symbol does not have to refer to one and only one thing. Revelation gives us no reason to deny that the whore really ruled from the seven hills geographically. Therefore we must interpret it as saying she did.

The Catholics observe that the seven mountains on which the whore reposes are symbols of seven kings five of whom have fallen and one lives now and the last is yet to come and will reign briefly (Revelation 17:10). They argue that the whore is not the Catholic Church for the writer refers to a king who lives in his day. The writer was writing in the first century and the Catholic Church had only existed a few decades and not long enough for five kings to have gone. The Catholics think as we have seen, that the whore is Jerusalem. They think the kings are the Roman emperors. But the Roman emperors were

not Jews. If they were dominated by the whore and the whore was Jewish Jerusalem they would have been Jews.

It was an angel not the writer who spoke of the sixth king as reigning then. Let's look at the context. The writer sees the woman. The angel says he will explain the symbolism of the woman and the beast that carries her. He says the beast existed once but does not exist now. He says it will appear again one more time and then will be destroyed forever. He says the men of the world will marvel how the beast that once existed and now does not exist will exist again. He says the woman sits on seven hills which can be understood also as symbols. They stand for seven kings and the sixth reigns and the seventh is yet to come. The angel means the king only reigns at the time depicted not in real time. So it is not true that the author of Revelation pinpointed the existence of the whore in his own day.

Since Jerusalem had no line of kings in the first century that matches what the Angel said. The beast then was not Jerusalem. It was not the Rome of the writer's day. It was future Rome. We know that Babylon was used as a nickname for Rome.

Nothing tells us in Revelation that the whore existed when Revelation was written. Therefore pagan Rome as evil as it was was not the whore. The whore was its successor - so-called Christian Rome.

Jesus blamed the Pharisees for the blood of all the saints and Revelation blames Babylon. But Revelation sees the whore as a manifestation of an evil occult power that always worked against God so the power that became Roman Catholicism could have influenced the Pharisees. The argument that the whore is Jerusalem because Jesus said the Pharisees were to blame for all that bloodshed is invalid. The Pharisees were not necessarily Jerusalem men or representative of it.

The whore rests on seven hills which are believed to be the seven hills of Rome. The whore is Catholic Rome.

Some object that the Vatican City which is only a tiny part of Rome and not on the seven hills cannot be the centre of the whore of Babylon for the whore is on seven hills in Revelation 17:9. But that has only been the case with the Vatican in the larger part of the twentieth century. The Vatican is still part of Rome though it is a separate state from Italy. The Book of Revelation does not mean that the entire headquarters of the evil empire will be spread out on the seven hills but that the city that will be the headquarters will be spread out. The size of the Vatican is irrelevant. The Vatican is only a city-state within Rome that rules the whole of Rome, its diocese, spiritually and does the same with the rest of the world. The size of the Vatican does not save Catholicism from the charge of being the harlot on the seven hills.

Rome not the Vatican is the headquarters of the Church for the Pope claims to be bishop of Rome not bishop of the Vatican. The Vatican City and its size has no relevance.

The diocese of Rome is the head of the Catholic Church not the Vatican. That is why we can't listen to people who say the Vatican City and Rome are two separate cities – physically and verbally they are not. The point they want to foist on us is that Rome can't be the whore because Rome doesn't run the Church but the Vatican does (see Pope Fiction page 102). The pope is bishop of Rome not the Vatican. Rome is the head diocese of the world.

Jerusalem or Pagan Rome did not make all ship-owners rich (18:19) and the prophecy says that the whore does. The whore has to be Catholic Rome. This means that the Catholic Church is secretly supreme in world affairs for it is not plain that Rome is behind every industry. It is behind a world conspiracy. But it is certain that the Church of Rome is far more powerful than the Roman Empire ever was and has far more money and has its finger stuck in pies all over the world so what is said applies best to it. All Catholics are employees of the Church. They are required to spread the power and influence of the Church though most of them don't do it. A Catholic ship-building company that has nothing to do with the Vatican would still be counted in prophecy as the whore owning the company.

The suggestion that the whore is Jerusalem must be seen for what it is. Pure anti-Semitism and advocacy of a violent fear and hatred of the Jews. The Roman Catholic Church is trying to encourage the suggestion and must pay the legal penalty.

The Jehovah's Witnesses suggestion that the whore is just any religion that differs from theirs is unacceptable. Why did the author of Revelation go to so much trouble to disguise what he was saying if he just meant that? It was well known in those days that Christians despised other religions as false and satanic.

The whore was and is Catholic Rome. How do we explain that? The answer is that the author became conscious of aberrant Christianity rising in Rome.

Notes:

Rome was referred to consistently as the city on seven hills in Greek, Roman, and Jewish literature from the 1st century BCE to the 3rd century CE. Davis lists Juvenal, Propertius, Horace, Ovid, Pliny, and Claudian, to which could be added

Virgil, Suetonius, Cicero, Plutarch, and Gellius. Within the Jewish psuedepigrapha, Rome is identified as the city on seven hills in the Sibylline Oracles.

